



Hunger in America 2014

Report for Vermont Foodbank

Washington County Data





A Report on Charitable Food Distribution in 2013

Characteristic		Percentage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)
Partner Agency by Type			
Faith-based or located in a religious institution	10	23.3%	10.0%
Not faith-based	33	76.7%	10.0%
Total excluding nonresponse	43	100.0%	•
Number of Programs by Type			
Meal	28	29.2%	7.7%
Grocery	27	28.1%	7.7%
Food-related benefits	3	3.1%	3.0%
Non-food	38	39.6%	8.3%
Total excluding nonresponse	96	100.0%	•

	Median	Sum	Margin of Error(+/-)
Agency Staffing Agency full-time equivalent staff at time of survey	5	544	364
	Count	Estimate	Margin Of Error(+/-)

			Error(+/-)
Number of agencies with no full-time equivalent staff at time of survey	14	32.6%	11.1%

	Median	Sum	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Volunteers			
Number of program volunteers (weekly)	4	937	296
	Min	Max	Median
Total volunteer hours per week per program	2	336	36
Average Percentage of Program Volunteers by Age			
18 and younger		4.4%	
19-59		57.0%	
60 and older		38.6%	

Total	excluding	nonresponse

		Percentage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Challenges			
Difficulty obtaining volunteers (some or a lot of difficulty)	28	68.3%	12.4%
Difficulty retaining volunteers (some or a lot of difficulty)	20	51.3%	13.7%

Areas of volunteer/staff training needs

1**00%**

		Perc	centage
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)
Accessing local food resources	12	25.5%	10.8%
Food safety and sanitation	24	45.3%	11.6%
Advocacy training	12	25.5%	10.8%
Client choice	6	12.5%	8.1%
Food Stamp (SNAP) application assistance and outreach	11	22.9%	10.3%
Fundraising/grant writing	19	38.8%	11.8%
Nonprofit management / board governance	9	18.8%	9.6%
Nutrition education	22	40.7%	11.3%
Social media	11	22.9%	10.3%
Summer feeding	5	10.4%	7.5%
Technology assistance	13	27.1%	10.9%
Volunteer recruitment/retention/staff succession planning	14	29.2%	11.1%

		Count		
	No Volunteers	Half of total volunteers or less	More than half of total volunteers	
Source of Program Volunteers				
Connected to Agency	5	17	15	
Religious groups	14	14	2	
United Way	24	3	0	
Other Civic/Nonprofit	17	12	1	
Companies or business groups	18	10	0	
Kindergarten through 12th grade school programs	23	5	0	
Colleges/Universities	21	6	1	
Court-ordered community service	15	13	0	
Clients	15	11	2	
Volunteers connected to food bank	23	4	0	
Some Other Source	10	12	5	
Average Percentage of Total Program Food Distribute	d			
M/aphington		EO 10/		

	Percentage
Total excluding nonresponse	100.0%
Purchased	34.0%
Donations	15.4%
Other	0.5%
Washington	50.1%

	Percentage		
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Access to Vehicles			
Owns truck(s), van(s), or car(s) for pickups	13	32.5%	12.6%
Rents/leases truck(s), van(s), or car(s) for pickups	2	5.4%	6.4%
Depends on personal truck(s), van(s), or car(s) of staff or volunteers for pickups	35	79.5%	10.3%
Works with other programs to share the responsibility for pickups	4	10.3%	8.3%
Food and groceries are delivered to program	15	36.6%	12.8%

		Percentage		
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)	
Program Reported Changes in Demand and Supply in the				
Past 12 months				
Volume of clients compared to prior year				
Saw any increase	25	48.1%	11.7%	
About the same	27	51.9%	11.7%	
Saw any decrease	0	0%	0%	
Total excluding nonresponse	52	100.0%		
Food available to meet needs of clients				
More food than needed	12	23.1%	9.9%	
Enough food to meet needs	31	59.6%	11.5%	
Less food than needed	9	17.3%	8.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse	52	100.0%	•	
Programs Turning Clients Away in the Past 12 Months				
Programs turning clients away for any reason	6	11.3%	7.4%	
Programs turning clients away frequently or occasionally due to				
Program ran out of food	0	0%	0%	
Clients came more often than program rules allow	0	0%	0%	
Client lived outside the program's service area	1	16.7%	33.6%	
No ID	0	0%	0%	
Income too high	1	16.7%	33.6%	
Other	5	83.3%	16.7%	
Program Restrictions				
Any Type Of Service Restriction	17	30.9%	10.5%	
Daily	0	0%	0%	
Weekly	6	10.9%	7.1%	
Monthly	9	16.4%	8.4%	
Quarterly/Seasonally	0	0%	0%	
Annually	2	3.6%	4.3%	
Agency Nutrition and Health Services				
Agencies that provide any type of nutrition services	29	67.4%	11.1%	
Fliers or written materials	26	60.5%	11.6%	
Cooking classes or demonstrations	21	48.8%	11.9%	
Nutrition workshops or classes or meetings with dietitians	16	37.2%	11.5%	
Referrals	19	44.2%	11.8%	
Other	9	20.9%	9.7%	
Agencies that do not provide any type of nutrition services	14	32.6%	11.1%	
Total excluding nonresponse	43	100.0%	•	
For those offering nutrition services how many led by:				
Agency Staff	20	83.3%	12.7%	
Agency volunteers	15	57.7%	16.0%	
Local nutritionists or other health professionals in partnership	14	51.9%	15.8%	

		Perc	entage Morgin of Error
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)
with agency			
Staff from food bank	5	20.8%	13.8%
Staff from Farm Bureau or Cooperative extension	1	4.5%	7.5%
Staff from local colleges/universities	2	9.1%	10.3%
Someone else	10	43.5%	17.3%
Agency Obstacles to Distribution of Healthier Foods			
Client reasons (unwillingness to eat, inability to store, etc.)	22	55.0%	12.4%
Too expensive to purchase healthier foods	35	81.4%	9.2%
Inability to store/handle healthier foods	11	28.2%	11.4%
Lack of knowledge about healthier foods	0	0%	0%
Healthier food not a priority	2	5.1%	5.6%
Inability to obtain healthier foods from other donors/food sources	25	58.1%	11.7%
Agency Services Related to Government Programs			
Agencies that provided any SNAP-related services	24	55.8%	11.8%
Screening for eligibility	10	23.8%	10.3%
Application assistance	14	34.1%	11.6%
Education about the program	22	52.4%	12.0%
Recertification for the program	13	31.7%	11.4%
Agencies that did not provide any SNAP-related services	18	41.9%	11.7%
Total excluding nonresponse	43	100.0%	•
Agency Reasons for Not Offering SNAP Services, Among Agencies Reporting Not Offering Them			
Staff-related issues	7	46.7%	22.8%
Not enough time	6	40.0%	22.4%
Lacking physical space or equipment	8	53.3%	22.4%
SNAP is not part of what this agency does	13	72.2%	18.2%
	4	33.3%	
Other reason	4	33.3%	24.9%
Agency Services Related to Other Non-SNAP Programs			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women Infants and Children (WIC)	13	30.2%	10.9%
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program	8	18.6%	9.2%
Medicaid or other health care programs	20	46.5%	11.8%
Supplemental Security income (SSI)	20 16	40.5% 37.2%	11.5%
Tax preparation or Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)	10	23.3%	10.0%
assistance			
Housing assistance like Section 8	18	41.9%	11.7%
Agencies Providing Combinations of Other non-SNAP Program Services			
One non-food service	4	9.3%	6.9%

		Percentage	
			Margin of Error
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)
Three non-food services	2	4.7%	5.0%
Four or more non-food services	5	11.6%	7.6%
Agency Reductions in the past 12 Months			
Experienced Cutbacks	8	19.0%	9.5%
Cut hours of operation	4	9.3%	6.9%
Lay off staff	3	7.0%	6.0%
Limit the area served	2	4.8%	5.1%
Did not Experience Cutbacks	34	81.0%	9.5%
Total excluding nonresponse	42	100.0%	•
Agencies reporting challenges associated with con-	tinuing to		
provide services			
Not enough money	5	11.6%	7.6%
Not enough food supplies	5	11.6%	7.6%
Not enough paid staff or personnel	4	9.3%	6.9%
Not enough volunteers	3	7.0%	6.0%
Not enough money for transportation	4	9.3%	6.9%
Building or location problems	4	9.3%	6.9%
Not enough leadership	2	4.7%	5.0%
Not enough community support	4	9.3%	6.9%
Community doesn't need this program	0	0%	0%

		Count	
	No Funding	Half of total funding or less	More than half s of total funding
Agency funding			
Government	14	9	13
Individuals	8	21	9
Corporations	19	14	2
Foundations	16	17	1
Religious institutions	21	14	1
Client fees	23	11	1
Other	18	17	0

		entage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Reliance on Food Bank: Effect if program no longer received food from food bank			
Major effect	35	67.3%	11.0%
Minor effect	12	23.1%	9.9%
No effect at all	5	9.6%	6.9%
Total excluding nonresponse	52	100.0%	•

Table A2 Client Counts

	We	ekly	Monthly		Anr	nually
	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts
Total number of individual clients, all programs	2,900 (+/-1,500)	2,600 (+/-1,400)	12,500 (+/-6,300)	7,600 (+/-4,300)	149,800 (+/-75,800)	21,100 (+/-12,100)
Total number of client households, all programs	1,000 (+/-300)	800 (+/-300)	4,100 (+/-1,200)	2,500 (+/-800)	49,600 (+/-14,000)	7,000 (+/-2,300)
Total number of individual clients by meal and grocery programs						
Meal programs	100 (+/-0)	0 (+/-0)	300 (+/-0)	100 (+/-0)	4,000 (+/-500)	300 (+/-0)
Grocery Programs	2,800 (+/-1,500)	2,600 (+/-1,400)	12,100 (+/-6,300)	7,600 (+/-4,300)	145,800 (+/-75,800)	21,300 (+/-12,200)
Total number of individual clients by selected program subtypes (not mutually exclusive)						
Pantries	2,300	2,200	10,100	5,800	121,500	16,100
Kitchens	100	0	300	0	4,000	0

		Perc	centage
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Erroi (+/-)
Client Age	Count	LStimate	(+/-)
0-5	1,134	5.7%	3.8%
6-17	3,236	16.2%	5.9%
18-29	3,883	19.5%	5.9 <i>%</i> 7.2%
30-49			
	5,444	27.3%	12.0%
50-59	1,998	10.0%	10.0%
60-64	781	3.9%	4.4%
65 or older	3,474	17.4%	8.4%
Total excluding nonresponse	19,950	100.0%	•
Client Race/Ethnicity			
White Non-Hispanic	18,349	88.9%	4.1%
Black Non-Hispanic	78	0.4%	0.5%
Hispanic	60	0.3%	0.3%
Other	2,158	10.5%	3.9%
Total excluding nonresponse	20,645	100.0%	
Household Size			
1 member	++	++	++
2 to 3 members	++	++	++
4 to 6 members	++	++	++
More than 6 members	++	++	++
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++
Primary Language Spoken By Adults at Home			
English	++	++	++
Spanish	++	++	++
Other	++	++	++
Housing			
Non-temporary housing	2,461	98.4%	1.6%
House or townhouse	1,110	44.4%	33.2%
Apartment	749	30.0%	23.2%
Mobile home or house trailer	565	22.6%	9.6%
Other	36	1.4%	1.8%
Temporary housing	39	1.6%	2.4%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,499	100.0%	•
Households without access to stove, microwave or hot plate	++	++	++
Households without access to refrigeration	++	++	++
Housing payment arrangements (non-temporary housing)			

CountEstimate(+/-)276 11.2% 8.7% $1,339$ 54.6% 15.0% 253 10.3% 11.0% $2,453$ 100.0% . 550 21.7% 8.9% 286 11.5% 3.3% 294 11.9% 10.3% 122 5.2% 3.1% 890 37.8% 10.1% 302 12.8% 11.2% 292 12.4% 14.2% 475 20.2% 8.5% 275 11.7% 9.0% $2,356$ 100.0% . $3,540$ 23.8% 7.1% $5,808$ 39.1% 11.1% 896 6.0% 6.6% $2,135$ 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% $14,852$ 100.0% . $1,971$ 78.1% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
253 $10.3%$ $11.0%$ $2,453$ $100.0%$. 550 $21.7%$ $8.9%$ 286 $11.5%$ $3.3%$ 294 $11.9%$ $10.3%$ 122 $5.2%$ $3.1%$ 890 $37.8%$ $10.1%$ 302 $12.8%$ $11.2%$ 292 $12.4%$ $14.2%$ 475 $20.2%$ $8.5%$ 275 $11.7%$ $9.0%$ $2,356$ $100.0%$. $3,540$ $23.8%$ $7.1%$ $5,808$ $39.1%$ $11.1%$ 896 $6.0%$ $6.6%$ $2,135$ $14.4%$ $14.0%$ $1,695$ $11.4%$ $3.5%$ 778 $5.2%$ $4.8%$ $14,852$ $100.0%$. $1,971$ $78.1%$ $13.4%$ 381 $15.1%$ $13.2%$
2,453 $100.0%$. 550 $21.7%$ $8.9%$ 286 $11.5%$ $3.3%$ 294 $11.9%$ $10.3%$ 122 $5.2%$ $3.1%$ 890 $37.8%$ $10.1%$ 302 $12.8%$ $11.2%$ 292 $12.4%$ $14.2%$ 475 $20.2%$ $8.5%$ 275 $11.7%$ $9.0%$ $2,356$ $100.0%$. $3,540$ $23.8%$ $7.1%$ $5,808$ $39.1%$ $11.1%$ 896 $6.0%$ $6.6%$ $2,135$ $14.4%$ $14.0%$ $1,695$ $11.4%$ $3.5%$ 778 $5.2%$ $4.8%$ $14,852$ $100.0%$. $1,971$ $78.1%$ $13.4%$ 381 $15.1%$ $13.2%$
550 $21.7%$ $8.9%$ 286 $11.5%$ $3.3%$ 294 $11.9%$ $10.3%$ 122 $5.2%$ $3.1%$ 890 $37.8%$ $10.1%$ 302 $12.8%$ $11.2%$ 292 $12.4%$ $14.2%$ 475 $20.2%$ $8.5%$ 275 $11.7%$ $9.0%$ $2,356$ $100.0%$. $3,540$ $23.8%$ $7.1%$ $5,808$ $39.1%$ $11.1%$ 896 $6.0%$ $6.6%$ $2,135$ $14.4%$ $14.0%$ $1,695$ $11.4%$ $3.5%$ 778 $5.2%$ $4.8%$ $14,852$ $100.0%$. $1,971$ $78.1%$ $13.4%$ 381 $15.1%$ $13.2%$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2,356 100.0% . 3,540 23.8% 7.1% 5,808 39.1% 11.1% 896 6.0% 6.6% 2,135 14.4% 14.0% 1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
5,808 39.1% 11.1% 896 6.0% 6.6% 2,135 14.4% 14.0% 1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
5,808 39.1% 11.1% 896 6.0% 6.6% 2,135 14.4% 14.0% 1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
896 6.0% 6.6% 2,135 14.4% 14.0% 1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
2,135 14.4% 14.0% 1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
1,695 11.4% 3.5% 778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
778 5.2% 4.8% 14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
14,852 100.0% . 1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
1,971 78.1% 13.4% 551 21.9% 13.4% 381 15.1% 13.2%
55121.9%13.4%38115.1%13.2%
55121.9%13.4%38115.1%13.2%
381 15.1% 13.2%
147 5.8% 6.4%
++ ++ ++
2,522 100.0% .
++

Currently out of work1,28851.2%Currently out of work, but actively looking in the last 4 weeks1365.4%

16.7%

4.4%

		Perc	entage
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)
Hours worked per week, among persons who worked the most in	Count	Estimate	(+/-)
the household			
1-10 hours per week	207	15.2%	7.3%
11-20 hours per week	96	7.0%	9.6%
21-30 hours per week	299	21.9%	10.1%
31-40 hours per week	470	34.5%	9.6%
Over 40 hours per week	292	21.4%	11.1%
Total excluding nonresponse	1,364	100.0%	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,		
Time out of work, among households where previously most employed person is not working			
Less than 1 month	++	++	++
1-6 months	++	++	++
7-12 months	++	++	++
More than 1 year	++	++	++
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++
Out of the workforce, in the past 4 weeks, and not looking for work because			
Retired	++	++	++
Disabled/poor health; caretaker for another person	++	++	++
Other	++	++	++
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++
Potential Barriers and Bridges to Employment			
Respondent responsible for grandchildren in household	249	9.9%	7.7%
Household member(s) released from prison in the past	108	4.3%	5.7%
12 months			
Adult client student status			
Full-time student(s)	1,258	8.5%	2.4%
Part-time student(s)	960	6.5%	7.2%
Health, Health Insurance, and Medical Bills			
Household: Health status of respondent			
Excellent	236	9.4%	2.3%
Very good	274	10.9%	8.5%
Good	792	31.5%	20.3%
Fair	812	32.3%	28.8%
Poor	399	15.9%	20.6%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,514	100.0%	•
Household member in poor health	583	25.6%	19.9%
Household member with diabetes	605	26.0%	6.4%
Household member with high blood pressure	1,390	20.0 <i>%</i> 64.0%	12.4%
Household: no member has health insurance	386	16.4%	8.2%
Household with unpaid medical bills	300 1,448	62.4%	9.4%
	1,440	02.4%	9.4%

		Percentage		
			Margin of Erro	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Income				
Household Annual Income				
\$0	++	++	++	
\$1 - \$10,000	++	++	++	
\$10,001 - \$20,000	++	++	++	
\$20,001 - \$30,000	++	++	++	
More than \$30,000	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Household Annual Income as % of Poverty Level				
0% (no income)	++	++	++	
1% - 50%	++	++	++	
51% - 75%	++	++	++	
76% - 100%	++	++	++	
101% - 130%	++	++	++	
131% - 150%	++	++	++	
151% - 185%	++	++	++	
186% or higher	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Household Monthly Income				
\$0	16	0.7%	0.7%	
\$1 - \$1,000	636	26.7%	17.4%	
\$1,001 - \$2,000	820	34.5%	13.4%	
\$2,001 - \$3,000	365	15.3%	9.6%	
More than \$3,000	542	22.8%	21.7%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,379	100.0%		
Households living in poverty	1,057	55.0%	14.1%	

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

		Percentage		
			Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Food Security				
Food secure	481	20.0%	18.8%	
Food insecure	1,926	80.0%	18.8%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,407	100.0%	•	
Spending Tradeoffs				
Choose between paying for food and paying for other expenses (ever in the past 12 months)				
Medicine/medical care	1,472	64.1%	16.9%	
Utilities	1,448	61.6%	25.4%	
Housing	1,052	44.7%	24.9%	
Transportation	1,887	77.9%	6.3%	
Education	493	20.9%	5.0%	
Choice of food versus medical care				
Every month	480	20.9%	10.1%	
Sometimes	992	43.2%	10.4%	
Never	826	35.9%	16.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,298	100.0%		
Choice of food versus utilities				
Every month	544	23.2%	12.9%	
Sometimes	904	38.5%	20.5%	
Never	901	38.4%	25.4%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,349	100.0%		
Choice of food versus housing				
Every month	312	13.3%	10.0%	
Sometimes	740	31.5%	27.8%	
Never	1,300	55.3%	24.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,352	100.0%	- 24.976	
Choice of food versus transportation				
Choice of food versus transportation	856	35.3%	11.1%	
Every month Sometimes	1,031	42.5%	6.6%	
Never	536	42.5% 22.1%	6.3%	
			0.3%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,423	100.0%	•	
Choice of food versus education				
Every month	101	4.3%	5.0%	
Sometimes	392	16.6%	7.7%	
Never	1,865	79.1%	5.0%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,358	100.0%	•	

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

		Percentage		
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)	
	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Household SNAP Participation				
Currently receiving SNAP	1,214	51.8%	17.1%	
Not currently receiving SNAP	1,129	48.2%	17.1%	
Never Applied	++	+0.270	++	
Have Applied			++	
Unknown	++	++		
Total excluding nonresponse	++ 2,342	++ 100.0%	++	
Time to exhaustion of benefits for households receiving SNAP				
1 Week or less	++	++	++	
2 Weeks	++	++	++	
3 Weeks	++	++	++	
4 Weeks	++	++	++	
More than 4 Weeks	++	++	++	
Fotal excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Potential SNAP income eligibility among client nouseholds not receiving SNAP				
Not income-eligible	263	25.7%	6.1%	
Potentially income-eligible	760	74.3%	6.1%	
At 130% threshold	189	18.5%	22.1%	
At higher broad-based categorical	571	55.8%	25.7%	
eligibility (BBCE) threshold				
Total excluding nonresponse	1,023	100.0%		
Reasons for not Applying for SNAP, among households that have never applied				
Didn't think eligible	278	36.7%	7.9%	
Personal reasons	287	38.0%	7.6%	
Too difficult to apply	++	++	++	
Never Heard of Program	++	++	++	
Other	174	23.0%	8.4%	
Other Program Participation				
Household participation in programs targeted at school-aged children (ages 5-18)				
Free or reduced-price school lunch programs	398	100.0%	0.0%	
Free or reduced-price school breakfast programs	131	33.0%	5.2%	
Afterschool snack or meal programs	++	++	++	
BackPack weekend food programs	++	++	++	
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) (among all households)	180	7.7%	8.5%	

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

		Percentage		
			Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Households participating in at least one child nutrition program				
One program	1,473	58.4%	14.3%	
Two or more programs	218	8.6%	4.4%	
Strategies for Food Assistance				
I usually wait to come to this program until I run out of food	733	31.1%	6.6%	
I plan to get food here on a regular basis	1,621	68.9%	6.6%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,355	100.0%	•	
Top Products Desired by Clients but Not Currently Receiving at Program				
Beverages such as water or juice	800	32.0%	16.7%	
Dairy products such as milk, cheese or yogurt	770	30.8%	17.6%	
Fresh fruits and vegetables	1,775	71.0%	21.2%	
Grains such as bread or pasta	273	10.9%	7.4%	
Non-food items like shampoo, soap, or diapers	476	19.0%	8.1%	
Nothing	++	++	++	
Other foods or products	425	17.0%	7.8%	
Protein food items like meats	1,180	47.2%	3.9%	
This is my first time coming to this program	150	6.0%	6.2%	
Coping Strategies				
Types of household coping strategies used in the past 12 months				
Eaten food past expiration date	1,455	59.1%	22.4%	
Grew food in garden	866	34.5%	15.5%	
Sold or pawned personal property	539	21.6%	8.9%	
Purchased food in dented or damaged packages	1,149	48.6%	15.8%	
Purchased inexpensive, unhealthy food	1,875	75.7%	18.9%	
Received help from family or friends	1,151	50.6%	16.8%	
Watered down food or drinks	871	37.6%	25.4%	
Number of household coping strategies used				
None	245	9.7%	12.2%	
1	396	15.7%	9.1%	
2	299	11.9%	7.6%	
3 or more	1,575	62.6%	25.8%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,515	100.0%	•	