



Hunger in America 2014

Report for Vermont Foodbank

Windham County Data





A Report on Charitable Food Distribution in 2013

Table A1 Agency and Program Characteristics

Areas of volunteer/staff training needs

		Percentage		
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Erro	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Partner Agency by Type	11	26.70/	14.3%	
Faith-based or located in a religious institution Not faith-based	19	36.7% 63.3%	14.3% 14.3%	
	_	•		
Total excluding nonresponse	30	100.0%	•	
Number of Programs by Type				
Meal	19	33.9%	10.7%	
Grocery	22	39.3%	11.0%	
Food-related benefits	0	0%	0%	
Non-food	15	26.8%	10.0%	
Total excluding nonresponse	56	100.0%	•	
-	Median	Sum	Margin of	
			Error(+/-)	
Agency Staffing				
Agency full-time equivalent staff at time of survey	8	158	45	
	Count	Estimate	Margin Of Error(+/-)	
Number of agencies with no full-time equivalent staff at time of survey	11	36.7%	14.3%	
-	Median	Sum	Margin of Error(+/-)	
Program Volunteers		•		
Number of program volunteers (weekly)	13	1,134	495	
	Min	Max	Median	
Total volunteer hours per week per program	1	540	32	
Average Percentage of Program Volunteers by Age				
18 and younger		7.6%		
19-59		53.4%		
60 and older		39.0%		
Total excluding nonresponse		100%	•	
Total excluding nomesponse		100 /6		
		Perc	entage	
<u>-</u>	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)	
Program Challenges Difficulty obtaining volunteers (some or a lot of difficulty)	16	50.0%	15 20/	
Difficulty obtaining volunteers (some or a lot of difficulty) Difficulty retaining volunteers (some or a lot of difficulty)	17	50.0%	15.2% 14.7%	
Dimodity Totalising Voluntoors (solitie of a lot of dimodity)	17	30.070	1-7.7 /0	

Table A1 Agency and Program Characteristics			
	Perc		
			Margin of Error
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)
Accessing local food resources	17	41.5%	13.1%
Food safety and sanitation	24	58.5%	13.1%
Advocacy training	16	39.0%	13.0%
Client choice	12	29.3%	12.1%
Food Stamp (SNAP) application assistance and outreach	11	26.8%	11.8%
Fundraising/grant writing	15	36.6%	12.8%
Nonprofit management / board governance	13	32.5%	12.6%
Nutrition education	21	51.2%	13.3%
Social media	18	43.9%	13.2%
Summer feeding	11	27.5%	12.0%
Technology assistance	20	48.8%	13.3%
Volunteer recruitment/retention/staff succession planning	15	37.5%	13.1%
	-	Count	*
	No Volunteers	Half of total volunteers or less	More than half of total volunteers
Source of Program Volunteers		1000	10.0
Connected to Agency	8	14	12
Religious groups	12	13	4
United Way	22	3	0
Other Civic/Nonprofit	20	6	0
Companies or business groups	22	4	0
Kindergarten through 12th grade school programs	15	9	1
Colleges/Universities	20	4	0
Court-ordered community service	18	9	1
Clients	11	12	3
Volunteers connected to food bank	24	3	0
Some Other Source	14	11	5
Average Percentage of Total Program Food Distributed			
Windham		55.5%	
Other		0.5%	
Donations		17.1%	
Purchased		26.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse		100.0%	•

		ntage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Access to Vehicles			
Owns truck(s), van(s), or car(s) for pickups	2	6.3%	7.4%
Rents/leases truck(s), van(s), or car(s) for pickups	0	0%	0%
Depends on personal truck(s), van(s), or car(s) of staff or volunteers for pickups	28	82.4%	11.2%
Works with other programs to share the responsibility for pickups	6	18.2%	11.5%
Food and groceries are delivered to program	18	56.3%	15.1%

Table A1 Agency and Program Characteristics

		Percentage		
			Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Program Reported Changes in Demand and Supply in the Past 12 months				
Volume of clients compared to prior year				
Saw any increase	24	58.5%	13.1%	
About the same	13	31.7%	12.4%	
Saw any decrease	4	9.8%	7.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse	41	100.0%		
Food available to meet needs of clients				
More food than needed	8	19.5%	10.6%	
Enough food to meet needs	26	63.4%	12.8%	
Less food than needed	7	17.1%	10.0%	
Total excluding nonresponse	41	100.0%	•	
Programs Turning Clients Away in the Past 12 Months				
Programs turning clients away for any reason	7	17.1%	10.0%	
Programs turning clients away frequently or occasionally due to	•	,	. 0.0 / 0	
Program ran out of food	0	0%	0%	
Clients came more often than program rules allow	2	28.6%	35.8%	
Client lived outside the program's service area	2	28.6%	35.8%	
No ID	0	0%	0%	
Income too high	0	0%	0%	
Other	6	85.7%	14.3%	
Program Restrictions				
Any Type Of Service Restriction	10	24.4%	11.4%	
Daily	1	2.4%	4.1%	
Weekly	4	9.8%	7.9%	
Monthly	5	12.2%	8.7%	
Quarterly/Seasonally	0	0%	0%	
Annually	0	0%	0%	
Agency Nutrition and Health Services				
Agencies that provide any type of nutrition services	20	66.7%	14.0%	
Fliers or written materials	15	50.0%	14.8%	
Cooking classes or demonstrations	13	43.3%	14.7%	
Nutrition workshops or classes or meetings with dietitians	16	53.3%	14.8%	
Referrals	12	40.0%	14.5%	
Other	10	33.3%	14.0%	
Agencies that do not provide any type of nutrition services	10	33.3%	14.0%	
Total excluding nonresponse	30	100.0%	•	
For those offering nutrition services how many led by:				
i of those offering fluthillori services flow flially led by.	40	0.4.20/	4.4.407	
Agency Staff	16			
Agency Staff Agency volunteers	16 13	84.2% 72.2%	14.4% 18.2%	

Table A1 Agency and Program Characteristics

		Percentage		
Oh	0	Fatimata	Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
with agency	4	0.00/	40.00/	
Staff from food bank	1	6.3%	10.6%	
Staff from Farm Bureau or Cooperative extension	2	13.3%	15.5%	
Staff from local colleges/universities	4	25.0%	19.0%	
Someone else	6	40.0%	22.4%	
Agency Obstacles to Distribution of Healthier Foods				
Client reasons (unwillingness to eat, inability to store, etc.)	21	70.0%	13.6%	
Too expensive to purchase healthier foods	21	70.0%	13.6%	
Inability to store/handle healthier foods	5	16.7%	11.1%	
Lack of knowledge about healthier foods	0	0%	0%	
Healthier food not a priority	3	10.0%	8.9%	
Inability to obtain healthier foods from other donors/food sources	12	40.0%	14.5%	
Agency Services Related to Government Programs				
Agencies that provided any SNAP-related services	18	60.0%	14.5%	
Screening for eligibility	11	36.7%	14.3%	
Application assistance	13	43.3%	14.7%	
Education about the program	17	58.6%	14.9%	
Recertification for the program	8	26.7%	13.1%	
Agencies that did not provide any SNAP-related services	11	36.7%	14.3%	
Total excluding nonresponse	30	100.0%	14.070	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			· ·	
Agency Reasons for Not Offering SNAP Services, Among				
Agencies Reporting Not Offering Them	0	00.00/	07.50/	
Staff-related issues	3	30.0%	27.5%	
Not enough time	3	30.0%	27.5%	
Lacking physical space or equipment	2	20.0%	24.0%	
SNAP is not part of what this agency does	10	90.9%	9.1%	
Other reason	3	30.0%	27.5%	
Agency Services Related to Other Non-SNAP Programs				
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women	12	40.0%	14.5%	
Infants and Children (WIC)				
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	9	30.0%	13.6%	
program	4.4	40.70/	4.4.007	
Medicaid or other health care programs	14	46.7%	14.8%	
Supplemental Security income (SSI)	11	37.9%	14.7%	
Tax preparation or Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) assistance	6	20.0%	11.9%	
Housing assistance like Section 8	11	36.7%	14.3%	
Agencies Providing Combinations of Other non-SNAP				
Program Services	F	16 70/	11 10/	
One non-food service	5	16.7%	11.1%	
Two non-food services	1	3.3%	5.3%	

Table A1 Agency and Program Characteristics

		Percentage		
			Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Three non-food services	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Four or more non-food services	3	10.0%	8.9%	
Agency Reductions in the past 12 Months				
Experienced Cutbacks	6	20.0%	11.9%	
Cut hours of operation	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Lay off staff	4	13.3%	10.1%	
Limit the area served	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Did not Experience Cutbacks	24	80.0%	11.9%	
Total excluding nonresponse	30	100.0%	•	
Agencies reporting challenges associated with continuing to provide services				
Not enough money	4	13.3%	10.1%	
Not enough food supplies	2	6.7%	7.4%	
Not enough paid staff or personnel	2	6.7%	7.4%	
Not enough volunteers	3	10.0%	8.9%	
Not enough money for transportation	2	6.7%	7.4%	
Building or location problems	3	10.0%	8.9%	
Not enough leadership	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Not enough community support	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Community doesn't need this program	1	3.3%	5.3%	
Community doesn't need this program	'	3.570	3.370	
		Count		
	No Funding	Half of total funding or les	More than half s of total funding	
Agency funding		•	•	
Government	10	10	9	
Individuals	3	22	4	
Corporations	11	17	0	
Foundations	14	15	0	
Religious institutions	15	12	1	
Client fees	19	8	2	
Other	13	13	1	
		Boro	entage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of	
	Count	Estimate	wargin of	

		entage	
	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error(+/-)
Program Reliance on Food Bank: Effect if program no longer received food from food bank			
Major effect	29	70.7%	12.1%
Minor effect	10	24.4%	11.4%
No effect at all	2	4.9%	5.7%
Total excluding nonresponse	41	100.0%	

Table A2 Client Counts

	We	Weekly		Monthly		nually
	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts	Duplicated counts	Unduplicated counts
Total number of individual clients, all programs	2,900 (+/-1,000)	1,800 (+/-800)	12,700 (+/-4,500)	5,100 (+/-2,400)	152,200 (+/-54,400)	14,000 (+/-6,800)
Total number of client households, all programs	1,400 (+/-600)	900 (+/-500)	6,200 (+/-2,600)	2,300 (+/-1,400)	74,600 (+/-31,400)	6,300 (+/-4,000)
Total number of individual clients by meal and grocery programs						
Meal programs	800 (+/-700)	300 (+/-300)	3,300 (+/-3,100)	600 (+/-300)	40,000 (+/-36,700)	1,000 (+/-600)
Grocery Programs	2,200 (+/-800)	1,700 (+/-800)	9,400 (+/-3,300)	5,000 (+/-2,500)	112,200 (+/-40,100)	13,800 (+/-6,900)
Total number of individual clients by selected program subtypes (not mutually exclusive)						
Pantries	2,200	1,700	9,400	4,900	112,200	13,600
Kitchens	700	100	2,800	100	34,100	200
Shelters	++	++	++	++	++	++

Table A3 Characteristics of Clients and their Households

		Perc	centage
Characteristic	Count	Fatimata	Margin of Erro
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)
Client Age	400	0.40/	0.00/
0-5	402	3.1%	2.6%
6-17	2,221	17.1%	11.2%
18-29	2,828	21.7%	7.3%
30-49	3,573	27.5%	6.9%
50-59	1,536	11.8%	8.8%
60-64	1,000	7.7%	5.7%
65 or older	1,446	11.1%	4.3%
Total excluding nonresponse	13,006	100.0%	•
Client Race/Ethnicity			
White Non-Hispanic	++	++	++
Black Non-Hispanic	++	++	++
Hispanic	++	++	++
Other	++	++	++
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++
Household Size			
1 member	++	++	++
2 to 3 members	++	++	++
4 to 6 members	++	++	++
More than 6 members	++	++	++
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++
Primary Language Spoken By Adults at Home			
English	++	++	++
Spanish	++	++	++
Other	++	++	++
Housing			
Non-temporary housing	2,226	96.5%	1.7%
House or townhouse	817	35.4%	23.3%
Apartment	1,121	48.6%	25.7%
Mobile home or house trailer	226	9.8%	10.5%
Other	61	2.7%	2.7%
Temporary housing	80	3.5%	1.7%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,306	100.0%	
Households without access to stove, microwave or hot plate	++	++	++
Households without access to refrigeration	++	++	++
Housing payment arrangements (non-temporary housing)			
Own with Mortgage	170	7.7%	8.9%

Table A3 Characteristics of Clients and their Households

		Percentage		
			Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Own free and clear	117	5.2%	7.1%	
Rent or Lease	1,822	82.0%	17.2%	
Do not have to pay rent	113	5.1%	6.3%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,222	100.0%		
Respondents lived at least two places in the past 12 months	1,135	47.4%	23.2%	
Respondents started living with another person or family	260	11.2%	5.3%	
Respondents experienced foreclosure or eviction in the past five years	116	5.0%	5.6%	
Education				
Household Highest Education Level				
Less than high school	146	6.3%	4.8%	
High school diploma	1,083	46.5%	20.7%	
General equivalency diploma or GED	199	8.5%	6.2%	
Business, trade, or technical license, certificate, or degree beyond high school	165	7.1%	5.2%	
Some college beyond high school or a 2-year college degree	539	23.2%	13.6%	
Four-year college degree or higher	195	8.4%	3.6%	
otal excluding nonresponse	2,328	100.0%		
Client Education level				
Less than high school	2,085	19.4%	5.8%	
High school diploma	4,865	45.2%	13.7%	
General equivalency diploma or GED	857	7.9%	2.1%	
Business, trade, or technical license, certificate, or degree beyond high school	855	7.9%	6.1%	
Some college beyond high school or a 2-year college degree	1,565	14.5%	7.6%	
Four-year college degree or higher	548	5.1%	2.7%	
Total excluding nonresponse	10,775	100.0%	•	
Military Service				
No household member has ever served	++	++	++	
At least one household member has served	++	++	++	
Not currently serving	++	++	++	
Household member currently serving	++	++	++	
Unknown if currently serving	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Household Employment (of the person who worked the most n the past 12 months; in some households, this person may not be working)				
Work Status				
	462	20.6%	11.8%	
Worked for pay in the last 4 weeks	462 1.224	20.6% 54.6%	11.8% 25.3%	
	462 1,224 1,779	20.6% 54.6% 79.4%	11.8% 25.3% 11.8%	

Table A3 Characteristics of Clients and their Households

		Percentage		
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Erro	
	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Hours worked per week, among persons who worked the most in the household				
1-10 hours per week	116	8.9%	7.8%	
11-20 hours per week	693	53.0%	27.4%	
21-30 hours per week	112	8.6%	10.2%	
31-40 hours per week	198	15.1%	16.1%	
Over 40 hours per week	187	14.3%	12.4%	
Total excluding nonresponse	1,307	100.0%		
Time out of work, among households where previously most employed person is not working				
Less than 1 month	++	++	++	
1-6 months	++	++	++	
7-12 months	++	++	++	
More than 1 year	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Out of the workforce, in the past 4 weeks, and not looking for work because				
Retired	++	++	++	
Disabled/poor health; caretaker for another person	++	++	++	
Other	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Potential Barriers and Bridges to Employment				
Respondent responsible for grandchildren in household	138	5.9%	6.8%	
Household member(s) released from prison in the past	++	++	++	
12 months				
Adult client student status				
Full-time student(s)	++	++	++	
Part-time student(s)	366	3.4%	1.7%	
Health, Health Insurance, and Medical Bills				
Household: Health status of respondent				
Excellent	569	24.5%	26.3%	
Very good	331	14.2%	7.4%	
Good	622	26.8%	13.0%	
Fair	433	18.6%	9.5%	
Poor	368	15.9%	8.6%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,324	100.0%	•	
Household member in poor health	448	20.0%	11.6%	
Household member with diabetes	405	17.8%	10.2%	
Household member with high blood pressure	1,163	50.0%	19.9%	
Household: no member has health insurance	162	7.0%	5.9%	
		31.1%	14.4%	

Table A3 Characteristics of Clients and their Households

Characteristic		Percentage		
			Margin of Erro	
	Count	Estimate	(+/-)	
Income				
Household Annual Income				
\$0	65	3.0%	4.1%	
\$1 - \$10,000	651	29.5%	15.8%	
\$10,001 - \$20,000	1,243	56.4%	19.2%	
\$20,001 - \$30,000	137	6.2%	3.8%	
More than \$30,000	109	5.0%	5.5%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,205	100.0%	3.370	
	•	•	•	
Household Annual Income as % of Poverty Level				
0% (no income)	65	3.0%	4.1%	
1% - 50%	303	13.7%	7.7%	
51% - 75%	337	15.3%	8.8%	
76% - 100%	838	38.0%	23.1%	
101% - 130%	137	6.2%	5.3%	
131% - 150%	261	11.8%	11.5%	
151% - 185%	151	6.9%	4.6%	
186% or higher	113	5.1%	5.8%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,205	100.0%	•	
Household Monthly Income				
\$0	94	4.1%	4.1%	
\$1 - \$1,000	1,313	56.8%	16.4%	
\$1,001 - \$2,000	425	18.4%	12.9%	
\$2,001 - \$3,000	234	10.1%	7.1%	
More than \$3,000	248	10.7%	6.4%	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,314	100.0%		
Households living in poverty	1,543	70.0%	14.6%	

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance		Downstown	
		Percentage	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	Margin of Error (+/-)
Food Security	- Journ	2011111110	(")
Food secure	472	20.4%	10.4%
Food insecure	1,838	79.6%	10.4%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,310	100.0%	10.470
Total oxelaulig nemospense	_,0.0	1001070	•
Spending Tradeoffs			
Choose between paying for food and paying for other			
expenses (ever in the past 12 months)			
Medicine/medical care	1,524	66.1%	14.6%
Utilities	1,076	47.5%	18.8%
Housing	985	43.8%	16.8%
Transportation	1,172	51.5%	19.1%
Education	358	15.7%	12.2%
Choice of food versus medical care	075	00.00/	00.50/
Every month	875	38.0%	28.5%
Sometimes	649	28.2%	15.6%
Never	781	33.9%	14.6%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,305	100.0%	•
Choice of food versus utilities			
Every month	383	16.9%	9.4%
Sometimes	693	30.6%	13.7%
Never	1,189	52.5%	18.8%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,265	100.0%	
3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,		
Choice of food versus housing			
Every month	303	13.5%	6.5%
Sometimes	682	30.3%	13.6%
Never	1,263	56.2%	16.8%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,248	100.0%	
Choice of food versus transportation			
Every month	520	22.8%	15.1%
Sometimes	652	28.6%	8.6%
Never	1,105	48.5%	19.1%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,277	100.0%	•
Obside of feed consequents			
Choice of food versus education	400	7 404	5.0 0/
Every month	163	7.1%	5.3%
Sometimes	196	8.5%	9.0%
Never	1,931	84.3%	12.2%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,290	100.0%	•

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance Characteristic		Percentage		
	Count		Margin of Error	
		Estimate	(+/-)	
Household SNAP Participation				
Currently receiving SNAP	1,794	77.7%	10.0%	
Not currently receiving SNAP	514	22.3%	10.0%	
Never Applied	++	++	++	
Have Applied	++	++	++	
Unknown	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	2,308	100.0%	•	
Time to exhaustion of benefits for households receiving SNAP				
1 Week or less	++	++	++	
2 Weeks	++	++	++	
3 Weeks	++	++	++	
4 Weeks	++	++	++	
More than 4 Weeks	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	++	++	++	
Potential SNAP income eligibility among client households not receiving SNAP				
Not income-eligible	241	48.2%	21.1%	
Potentially income-eligible	259	51.8%	21.1%	
At 130% threshold	230	45.9%	24.5%	
At higher broad-based categorical eligibility (BBCE) threshold	++	++	++	
Total excluding nonresponse	501	100.0%		
Reasons for not Applying for SNAP, among households that have never applied				
Didn't think eligible	178	72.4%	27.6%	
Personal reasons	++	++	++	
Too difficult to apply	++	++	++	
Never Heard of Program	++	++	++	
Other	++	++	++	
Other Program Participation				
Household participation in programs targeted at school-aged children (ages 5-18)				
Free or reduced-price school lunch programs	147	24.6%	48.0%	
Free or reduced-price school breakfast programs	51	8.5%	20.2%	
Afterschool snack or meal programs	++	++	++	
BackPack weekend food programs	++	++	++	
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) (among all households)	++	++	++	

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance

Table A4 Clients Use of Food Assistance		Percentage	
		Margin of Error	
Characteristic	Count	Estimate	(+/-)
Households participating in at least one child nutrition			
program			
One program	1,185	50.9%	21.1%
Two or more programs	630	27.1%	26.0%
Strategies for Food Assistance			
I usually wait to come to this program until I run out of food	554	25.1%	12.5%
I plan to get food here on a regular basis	1,651	74.9%	12.5%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,205	100.0%	•
Top Products Desired by Clients but Not Currently Receiving at Program			
Beverages such as water or juice	257	11.1%	5.3%
Dairy products such as milk, cheese or yogurt	904	39.0%	11.3%
Fresh fruits and vegetables	1,569	67.6%	15.6%
Grains such as bread or pasta	259	11.2%	6.0%
Non-food items like shampoo, soap, or diapers	468	20.2%	11.8%
Nothing	188	8.1%	5.2%
Other foods or products	130	5.6%	2.8%
Protein food items like meats	810	34.9%	17.7%
This is my first time coming to this program	148	6.4%	6.5%
Coping Strategies			
Types of household coping strategies used in the past 12 months			
Eaten food past expiration date	1,225	52.8%	20.2%
Grew food in garden	628	28.2%	13.8%
Sold or pawned personal property	615	33.1%	5.3%
Purchased food in dented or damaged packages	1,655	73.2%	16.9%
Purchased inexpensive, unhealthy food	1,445	62.8%	19.7%
Received help from family or friends	1,582	68.5%	12.3%
Watered down food or drinks	1,175	51.4%	15.8%
Number of household coping strategies used			
None	159	6.8%	4.4%
1	236	10.1%	10.0%
2	848	36.5%	24.0%
3 or more	1,081	46.5%	19.0%
Total excluding nonresponse	2,323	100.0%	